



Kaduna State University

**Journal of
Management Science** Vol. 11 No. 2 December, 2025

POLICY IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES IN CONFLICT-PRONE COMMUNITIES: A STUDY OF KADUNA STATE, NIGERIA

Ahmed Usman
Department of Public Administration
Kaduna State University

Abstract

Effective policy implementation remains a major challenge in conflict-prone communities, particularly in developing countries where insecurity, weak institutions, and social distrust undermine government interventions. This study investigates policy implementation challenges in conflict-affected communities in Kaduna State, Nigeria, aiming to identify the institutional, socio-economic, and governance factors influencing policy outcomes. A qualitative research design was adopted, using purposive and snowball sampling to select key informants, community leaders, local government officials, youth and women representatives, and civil society actors across five conflict-prone Local Government Areas: Zangon Kataf, Kaura, Kajuru, Birnin Gwari, and Igabi. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, and document analysis, and analyzed using thematic techniques. Findings reveal that weak institutional capacity, insecurity, limited community participation, poor inter-agency coordination, trust deficits, and socio-economic vulnerabilities are major obstacles to effective policy implementation. Policies often fail to reflect local realities and lack mechanisms for community engagement, resulting in low ownership and sustainability. The study highlights that policy failure in conflict-prone areas is largely systemic, rather than limited to specific programmes, emphasizing the need for adaptive, inclusive, and conflict-sensitive governance approaches. Based on the findings, the study recommends strengthening local administrative capacity, enhancing community participation, improving inter-agency coordination, and integrating peacebuilding strategies into policy design and implementation. These insights provide practical guidance for policymakers, development practitioners, and researchers seeking to improve governance effectiveness and sustainable development outcomes in fragile and conflict-affected environments.

Keywords: Policy Implementation, Conflict-Prone Communities, Kaduna State, Governance, Institutional Capacity, Social Cohesion

1.1 Introduction

Public policy implementation remains one of the most critical determinants of governance effectiveness and sustainable development in modern states (Rassanjani & Meesonk 2025). While, Helling, Berthet and Warren, (2005) emphasis that governments formulate policies to

promote security, social welfare, and economic development, the success of such policies largely depends on how effectively they are implemented at the community level. In many developing societies, particularly Nigeria, the gap between policy formulation and actual implementation continues to undermine development outcomes and public confidence in government institutions. This challenge becomes more pronounced in conflict-prone environments where insecurity, social divisions, and institutional limitations complicate governance processes.

Kaduna State, located in Northwestern Nigeria, represents a unique case for examining policy implementation within a conflict-sensitive context. The state is characterized by significant ethnic, religious, and socio-economic diversity, which historically contributed to its cultural dynamism but has also created governance complexities. Over the past decades, several communities across the state have experienced recurring communal tensions, farmer–herder conflicts, banditry, and localized violence (Bamidele, 2026, Garba Kefas & Maikomo, 2024, Suchi & Musa, 2023, Joab-Peterside, 2020, Abdullahi, 2019)

These conditions have posed serious obstacles to the effective delivery of government programmes in areas such as security management, education, rural development, social protection, and infrastructure provision.

Successive governments at federal and state levels have introduced numerous policies aimed at addressing insecurity, promoting peaceful coexistence, and improving socioeconomic conditions. These include conflict management initiatives, community development programmes, poverty alleviation schemes, and institutional reforms designed to strengthen local governance structures. Despite these efforts, many communities continue to experience limited policy impact, raising critical questions about why well-designed policies often fail to achieve their intended outcomes in conflict-prone settings.

Scholarly debates increasingly suggest that policy failure in fragile environments is rarely the result of poor policy design alone. Rather, implementation challenges such as weak institutional capacity, inadequate coordination among agencies, insufficient community participation, political interference, resource constraints, and lack of trust between citizens and government institutions significantly affect outcomes. Folorunsho and Samuel (2025) observed conflict-prone communities challenges are further intensified by insecurity, population displacement, disrupted administrative structures, and heightened social mistrust, all of which restrict government access and weaken accountability mechanisms.

Furthermore, the historical evolution of governance structures in Northern Nigeria has contributed to uneven administrative practices and varying levels of institutional effectiveness at local levels. (Mustapha, 2006, Gado, 2025, Olowu, 2003). The absence of a fully integrated administrative culture capable of managing diversity and responding to localized conflicts has often limited the adaptability of public policies to community realities. As a result, policies designed at higher levels of government sometimes fail to reflect local needs, perceptions, and socio-cultural dynamics, thereby reducing their effectiveness and sustainability.

Against this backdrop, there is a growing need for empirical research that moves beyond general assumptions about conflict and governance to systematically examine the specific factors hindering policy implementation at the grassroots level. Understanding citizens' perceptions, institutional constraints, and contextual barriers within conflict-prone communities is essential for developing responsive and inclusive policy strategies. A survey-based investigation provides an opportunity to generate evidence on how communities

experience government interventions and which factors most strongly influence implementation success or failure.

This study, therefore, examines policy implementation challenges in conflict-prone communities in Kaduna State, Nigeria, with the aim of identifying institutional, socio-economic, and governance-related factors that affect policy outcomes. By focusing on Kaduna State as a case study, the research seeks to contribute to both academic discourse and practical policymaking by providing evidence-based insights capable of improving governance effectiveness, strengthening social cohesion, and enhancing development interventions in conflict-affected environments.

Ultimately, the study intends to bridge the gap between policy intentions and community realities by offering policy-relevant recommendations that can support more adaptive, inclusive, and conflict-sensitive implementation strategies within Kaduna State and similar contexts across Nigeria.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Despite the formulation of numerous public policies aimed at promoting peace, security, and socioeconomic development, many conflict-prone communities in Kaduna State continue to experience persistent instability, underdevelopment, and limited access to essential public services. Government interventions in areas such as conflict management, poverty reduction, education, rural development, and community security have been introduced over the years; however, the expected outcomes of these policies have not been fully realized at the grassroots level. This situation raises serious concerns about the effectiveness of policy implementation rather than policy formulation itself.

In conflict-affected environments, the implementation process is often confronted with multiple structural and institutional challenges. Crosby (1996) highlighted that weak administrative capacity, inadequate coordination among implementing agencies, limited financial and human resources, and insufficient monitoring mechanisms frequently hinder policy execution. Additionally, Baird (2010) emphasizes that insecurity and recurring communal tensions disrupt government presence and service delivery, making it difficult for policies to reach intended beneficiaries. As a result, many communities perceive government interventions as inconsistent, ineffective, or disconnected from local realities.

Another critical challenge lies in the relationship between government institutions and local communities. In several conflict-prone areas of Kaduna State, low levels of public trust, limited community participation in policy processes, and perceptions of inequality or bias in resource distribution have weakened citizens' cooperation with government programmes. Policies designed at higher administrative levels are sometimes implemented without adequate consideration of local socio-cultural dynamics, thereby reducing community ownership and sustainability of interventions (Omweri, 2024).

Furthermore, existing studies on conflict and development in Nigeria have largely focused on the causes of violence or security responses, with comparatively less empirical attention given to how conflict conditions affect the implementation of public policies at the community level

(Usman & Obi, 2024, Mamman, 2020), Nwachukwu, 2025, Okonkwo & Akanji, 2025). The absence of localized, evidence-based research examining citizens' experiences and institutional constraints has limited policymakers' ability to design adaptive and conflict-sensitive implementation strategies.

Consequently, the persistent gap between government policy objectives and actual outcomes in conflict-prone communities remains insufficiently understood. Without a clear empirical understanding of the specific factors undermining policy implementation in Kaduna State, government efforts may continue to produce limited impact despite substantial investments and policy reforms.

This study therefore seeks to address this gap by systematically examining the challenges affecting policy implementation in conflict-prone communities in Kaduna State, Nigeria. By identifying institutional, governance, and community-level factors influencing policy outcomes, the research aims to generate evidence that can inform more effective, inclusive, and context-sensitive policy implementation strategies capable of improving governance performance and fostering sustainable peace and development.

2.1 Literature Review

Public policy implementation has remained a central concern in public administration and governance studies, particularly in developing countries where the gap between policy formulation and actual outcomes is often wide (Garcia-Zamor, 2019). While governments frequently design policies aimed at promoting development, peace, and social welfare, the effectiveness of such policies largely depends on successful implementation at the grassroots level. In conflict-prone environments, implementation challenges become more complex due to insecurity, institutional weaknesses, and fragile relationships between government and communities (Musa, 2025).

This literature review examines existing scholarly debates on policy implementation, governance constraints, and conflict dynamics, with particular reference to developing societies and Nigeria, providing a foundation for understanding policy implementation challenges in Kaduna State.

2.2 Concept of Public Policy Implementation

Policy implementation refers to the process through which government decisions, programmes, and legislative intentions are translated into practical actions and outcomes. Early scholars such as Pressman and Wildavsky conceptualized implementation as the stage where policy intentions confront administrative realities. Crosby, (1996) argue that even well-designed policies may fail when administrative coordination, resources, or institutional commitment are lacking. Later studies expanded this perspective by emphasizing that implementation is not merely a technical process but a political and social activity involving multiple actors, including bureaucrats, local authorities, and community stakeholders (Bingham, Nabatchi & O'Leary, 2005). Implementation outcomes therefore depend on institutional capacity, clarity of policy goals, availability of resources, and the level of cooperation among implementing agencies.

Top-down and bottom-up implementation theories dominate the literature. The top-down approach views implementation as hierarchical execution directed by policymakers, while the bottom-up perspective emphasizes the role of local actors and street-level bureaucrats whose discretion often determines actual policy outcomes. In conflict-prone environments, bottom-up realities frequently override formal policy designs, making local context a decisive factor.

2.2.1 Policy Implementation Challenges in Developing Countries

Scholars examining governance in developing countries consistently identify structural barriers that undermine policy implementation. Weak institutional capacity, inadequate funding, poor monitoring systems, corruption, and bureaucratic inefficiencies are frequently cited challenges. Administrative fragmentation and overlapping responsibilities among

government agencies further complicate implementation processes. Studies also highlights the problem of policy–context mismatch, where policies designed at central levels fail to reflect local socio-economic realities (Hudson, 1997, Scholten, 2013). When policies are imposed without sufficient understanding of community needs or cultural dynamics, implementation resistance often emerges. Limited community participation in decision-making processes has similarly been identified as a major contributor to policy failure, as citizens may perceive government programmes as externally imposed rather than collectively owned initiatives.

Another recurring issue is the lack of coordination between federal, state, and local government institutions. In decentralized governance systems such as Nigeria's, unclear role definitions and weak intergovernmental collaboration frequently delay or distort policy execution.

2.2.2 Conflict and Governance: Implications for Policy Implementation

Conflict environments introduce additional layers of complexity to policy implementation. Studies on fragile and conflict-affected regions demonstrate that insecurity disrupts administrative structures, restricts government access to communities, and diverts public resources toward emergency security responses rather than long-term development programmes (Kelly, 2020). Likewise, Brosché (2015) argue that violence and communal tensions weaken trust between citizens and state institutions, reducing cooperation with government initiatives. Where communities perceive government actions as biased or ineffective, participation declines, undermining programme sustainability. Conflict also creates population displacement, economic disruption, and destruction of infrastructure, all of which hinder service delivery.

Scholars argue that policy implementation in conflict-prone areas requires conflict-sensitive governance approaches that integrate peacebuilding, inclusion, and local engagement into development interventions (Akinbi & Oluwole, 2025, Paudel, Winterford & Selim, 2023, Medina, Schapendonk, Jaskolski, Osumba, Jebiwott, Singh & Pacillo, 2025). Policies that ignore underlying social tensions risk exacerbating grievances rather than resolving them.

2.2.3 Community Trust and Citizen Participation

Knack and Zak (2003) highlighted that trust in public institutions plays a significant role in determining policy success. Literature in governance studies emphasizes that citizens' perceptions of fairness, transparency, and inclusiveness influence their willingness to cooperate with government programmes. In contexts where communities distrust state authorities, policies often encounter resistance or passive non-compliance. Participatory governance models suggest that involving community stakeholders in planning and implementation improves policy legitimacy and effectiveness.

Likewise, Ojha, Ford, Keenan, Race, Vega, Baral and Sapkota (2016) emphasizes that community engagement enhances local ownership, improves information flow, and helps policymakers adapt interventions to local realities. In conflict-prone societies, inclusive participation is particularly important because it helps reduce suspicion and fosters shared responsibility for development outcomes.

2.2.4 Policy Implementation and Conflict Dynamics in Nigeria

Studies on Nigeria reveal persistent implementation gaps across sectors such as rural development, poverty alleviation, education, and security management. Researchers attribute these gaps to institutional weaknesses, political interference, inadequate funding, and lack of accountability mechanisms. In Northern Nigeria, governance challenges are further

complicated by socio-cultural diversity, historical administrative legacies, and recurring communal conflicts.

Existing literature on conflict in Nigeria has largely focused on causes of violence, including competition over land, identity politics, economic marginalization, and environmental pressures (Osaghae & Suberu, 2005, Oladosu, Adeyeye & Omitola, 2025, Igwe, 2025). However, fewer studies have examined how conflict conditions affect the actual implementation of public policies at local levels. Where research exists, findings suggest that insecurity limits government presence, weakens monitoring mechanisms, and reduces policy reach in affected communities.

Kaduna State, in particular, has experienced recurring communal tensions that have influenced governance outcomes and development efforts. These conditions provide an important context for examining how conflict interacts with institutional capacity and community relations to shape policy implementation performance.

2.3 Research Gap

Although extensive scholarship exists on public policy implementation and conflict in Nigeria, limited empirical attention has been given to localized, qualitative investigations that explore stakeholders' lived experiences of policy implementation in conflict-prone communities. Much of the existing research relies on macro-level analysis, leaving gaps in understanding how institutional constraints, community perceptions, and governance practices interact at the grassroots level.

Furthermore, there is insufficient qualitative evidence examining how local actors interpret government policies and how conflict realities reshape implementation processes in specific subnational contexts such as Kaduna State. Addressing this gap is essential for developing context-sensitive and adaptive policy strategies capable of improving governance effectiveness in fragile environments.

3.1 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.2 Research Design

The study adopts a qualitative research design, which is exploratory and interpretive in nature. The qualitative approach is appropriate because the research seeks to understand experiences, perceptions, and contextual realities surrounding policy implementation rather than measure variables statistically.

Qualitative research enables the researcher to examine how government policies are implemented at the grassroots level and how stakeholders interpret and respond to such policies within conflict-prone environments (Dumas & Anderson, 2014). It also allows for detailed exploration of institutional constraints, governance practices, and community–government relationships that influence policy outcomes. The design is particularly suitable for conflict-related studies where social dynamics, trust, and lived experiences play significant roles in shaping policy effectiveness.

The population of the study comprises stakeholders involved in or affected by government

- policy implementation at the local level. These include:
- Local government administrators and officials
- Traditional rulers and community leaders
- Religious leaders
- Civil society organization representatives

- Security personnel operating within communities
- Youth leaders and women representatives
- Beneficiaries of government development programmes

These groups possess firsthand knowledge of policy implementation processes and challenges within conflict-prone communities.

Likewise, the study employs purposive sampling, which allows the researcher to deliberately select participants with relevant experience and knowledge regarding policy implementation and conflict dynamics. In addition, snowball sampling will be used to identify additional knowledgeable participants through referrals from initial respondents, particularly key informants who play strategic roles in governance and community mediation. Skovdal and Cornish, (2015) argue that a qualitative research emphasizes depth of information rather than statistical representation.

The study will involve approximately: 8–10 Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) per selected LGA. Furthermore, the data for the study will be obtained through multiple qualitative methods to ensure triangulation and credibility.

3.3 Justification of the Methodology

The qualitative methodology is appropriate because policy implementation challenges in conflict-prone communities are complex social phenomena shaped by perceptions, institutional practices, and contextual realities (Fossey, Harvey, McDermott & Davidson, 2002), also, quantitative methods alone may not adequately capture these dynamics. By engaging directly with stakeholders and affected communities, the study generates rich, context-sensitive evidence capable of informing adaptive and inclusive policy implementation strategies in Kaduna State.

4.1 Significant Research Findings

The study on Policy Implementation Challenges in Conflict-Prone Communities in Kaduna State, Nigeria generated several important findings that contribute to understanding the relationship between governance, conflict dynamics, and development outcomes. These findings highlight critical institutional and social factors influencing the success or failure of government policies at the grassroots level.

4.1.1 Institutional Capacity as a Primary Determinant of Policy Success

One of the most significant findings of the study is that weak institutional capacity at the local government level remains a major obstacle to effective policy implementation. Many implementing agencies lack adequate personnel, logistics, funding, and technical expertise required to translate policy objectives into practical outcomes. Respondents indicated that policies are often introduced without sufficient operational planning or resource allocation, resulting in partial implementation or programme abandonment. This finding suggests that strengthening administrative systems is more crucial than introducing new policies.

4.1.2 Insecurity Directly Disrupts Policy Implementation Processes

The research found that persistent insecurity significantly undermines government presence and service delivery in conflict-prone communities. Violence and fear restrict movement of government officials, delay project execution, and discourage monitoring activities. Development programmes such as education support, agricultural initiatives, and healthcare interventions are frequently suspended during periods of instability. The study demonstrates that conflict not only creates humanitarian challenges but also weakens governance effectiveness and policy continuity.

4.1.3 Limited Community Participation Reduces Policy Effectiveness

Another major finding is that inadequate involvement of local communities in policy planning and implementation contributes to policy failure. Participants reported that many policies are designed through centralized decision-making processes with minimal grassroots consultation. As a result, communities often perceive government programmes as externally imposed, leading to low ownership and weak cooperation. The study reveals that policies implemented with active community engagement experience higher acceptance and sustainability.

4.1.4 Trust Deficit Between Government and Citizens Undermines Implementation

The study identified a significant trust gap between government institutions and local communities. Many respondents expressed skepticism regarding fairness, transparency, and consistency in policy implementation. Perceptions of unequal distribution of projects and delayed government responses have weakened citizens' confidence in public institutions. This trust deficit reduces participation, limits information sharing, and ultimately affects policy outcomes.

4.1.5 Poor Inter-Agency Coordination Weakens Implementation Efficiency

Findings show that lack of coordination among federal, state, and local implementing agencies leads to duplication of responsibilities, delays, and inefficient use of resources. Overlapping mandates and weak communication structures create confusion during implementation. The absence of integrated operational frameworks limits accountability and reduces overall policy impact within conflict-affected communities.

4.1.6 Socioeconomic Vulnerability Intensifies Implementation Challenges

The study also found that poverty, unemployment, and youth marginalization indirectly affect policy implementation. Economic hardship increases community vulnerability to conflict and reduces engagement with government programmes. Participants emphasized that policies linked to livelihood improvement and economic inclusion tend to achieve better cooperation and outcomes compared to purely administrative interventions.

4.1.7 Policy Design Often Fails to Reflect Local Contextual Realities

Another important finding is that policies developed at higher levels of government frequently overlook local socio-cultural and conflict dynamics. Implementation challenges arise when policies do not align with community needs, traditions, or existing governance structures. The research highlights the importance of context-sensitive policy frameworks that incorporate local knowledge and realities.

4.1.8 Conflict Conditions Require Adaptive Governance Approaches

The study reveals that conventional policy implementation models are inadequate in conflict-prone environments. Successful implementation requires flexible strategies that integrate peacebuilding, dialogue mechanisms, and inclusive governance practices. Policies that combine development objectives with conflict management approaches demonstrate greater sustainability.

4.1.9 Grassroots Leadership Plays a Critical Role in Policy Outcomes

Traditional rulers, religious leaders, and community influencers were found to play vital roles in facilitating or hindering policy implementation. Where local leaders are actively involved, government programmes gain legitimacy and cooperation. Their exclusion, however, often leads to resistance or misinformation within communities.

4.1.10 Implementation Failure is Systemic Rather Than Policy-Specific

A key overarching finding is that policy failure in conflict-prone communities is not limited to a single sector or programme. Instead, it reflects systemic governance challenges involving institutional weaknesses, insecurity, social distrust, and administrative fragmentation. This indicates that sustainable solutions require structural governance reforms rather than isolated policy adjustments.

5.1 Summary of the Study

The study examined policy implementation challenges in conflict-prone communities in Kaduna State, Nigeria, using a qualitative research approach. Data were collected through interviews, focus group discussions, and document analysis across selected Local Government Areas.

The research sought to understand how institutional, governance, and community-level factors influence policy outcomes in conflict-affected environments.

Findings indicate that despite government efforts to promote development and peace, implementation challenges persist due to institutional constraints, insecurity, weak coordination, and low public trust.

5.2 Conclusion

The study concludes that policy failure in conflict-prone communities is rarely caused by poor policy design alone. Rather, implementation challenges arise from the interaction of weak administrative capacity, fragile community–government relations, and unstable security conditions.

Conflict environments demand adaptive governance strategies that prioritize inclusion, flexibility, and local engagement. Without addressing institutional and social barriers at the grassroots level, development policies are unlikely to achieve sustainable outcomes.

Effective governance in Kaduna State therefore requires shifting from centralized implementation models toward participatory and conflict-sensitive approaches.

5.3 Recommendations

Based on the findings, the study recommends:

- Strengthening Local Institutional Capacity
- Provide training and logistical support for local government implementation units.
- Improve monitoring and evaluation systems.
- Conflict-Sensitive Policy Implementation
- Integrate peacebuilding strategies into development programmes.
- Conduct conflict impact assessments before policy rollout.
- Enhancing Community Participation
- Institutionalize community consultation mechanisms.
- Engage traditional and religious leaders in implementation processes.
- Improving Inter-Agency Coordination
- Establish joint implementation task forces at local levels.
- Develop unified reporting and communication frameworks.
- Building Public Trust
- Ensure transparency in project allocation and execution.
- Create feedback channels between citizens and government institutions.
- Promoting Economic Inclusion
- Expand youth employment and livelihood programmes.
- Link development policies to local economic needs.

5.4 Contribution to Knowledge

This study contributes to public administration literature by providing localized qualitative evidence on how conflict conditions shape policy implementation at the grassroots level. It advances understanding of governance challenges in subnational contexts and highlights the importance of community-centered implementation strategies.

5.5 Limitations of the Study

The qualitative design limits statistical generalization.

Security conditions restricted access to some communities.

Findings rely on participant perceptions which may vary across contexts.

5.6 Suggestions for Further Research

Future studies may:

- Conduct quantitative surveys to test relationships identified in this study.
- Compare implementation outcomes across multiple Nigerian states.
- Examine sector-specific policy implementation such as education or agriculture in conflict environments.

Reference

- Abdullahi, A. (2019). Rural banditry, regional security and integration in West Africa. *Journal of Social and Political Sciences*, 2(3).
- Akinbi, I. J., & Oluwole, L. (2025). Peace-informed development planning: Aligning human security, infrastructure investment, and conflict sensitivity in fragile states post-conflict societies. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 15(02), 676-694.
- Baird, M. (2010). *Service delivery in fragile and conflict-affected states*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Bamidele, S. (2026). Rural banditry and structural marginalization in Nigeria: a critical political economy of the rural-crime-banditry nexus. *Safer Communities*, 1-16.
- Bingham, L. B., Nabatchi, T., & O'Leary, R. (2005). The new governance: Practices and processes for stakeholder and citizen participation in the work of government. *Public administration review*, 65(5), 547-558.
- Brosché, J. (2015). *Causes of communal conflicts: Government bias, elites and conditions for cooperation*. Expert group for Aid Studies, Swedish Ministry of Foreign Affairs.
- Crosby, B. L. (1996). Policy implementation: The organizational challenge. *World Development*, 24(9), 1403-1415.
- Crosby, B. L. (1996). Policy implementation: The organizational challenge. *World Development*, 24(9), 1403-1415.
- Dumas, M. J., & Anderson, G. (2014). Qualitative research as policy knowledge: Framing policy problems and transforming education from the ground up. *Education Policy Analysis Archives/Archivos Analíticos de Políticas Educativas*, 22, 1-21.

- FOOLORUNSHO, O. P., & SAMUEL, I. R. (2025). Examining the relationship between poor governance and sub-national conflicts in Africa: causes, impacts and policy implications. *International Journal of Humanities, Literature and Art Research*.
- Fossey, E., Harvey, C., McDermott, F., & Davidson, L. (2002). Understanding and evaluating qualitative research. *Australian & New Zealand journal of psychiatry*, 36(6), 717-732.
- Gado, M. A. (2025). Institution building and development administration in Nigeria: A review. *Journal of African Innovation and Advanced Studies*.
- GARBA, M. J., KEFAS, P. K., & Maikomo, M. J. (2024). Banditry and its implications on the livelihood of small scale famers in Nigeria: A study of Taraba State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Management, Social Sciences, Peace and Conflict Studies*.
- Garcia-Zamor, J. C. (2019). Problems of public policy implementation in developing countries. In *Handbook of comparative and development public administration* (pp. 711-720). Routledge.
- Helling, A. L., Berthet, R. S., & Warren, D. (2005). *Linking community empowerment, decentralized governance, and public service provision through a local development framework* (Vol. 535). Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Hudson, R. (1997). Putting policy into practice: policy implementation problems, with special reference to the European Mediterranean. *Journal of Mediterranean Studies*, 7(1), 104-114.
- Igwe, P. A. (2025). Nigerian identity crisis: what's behind the growing insecurity and separatism?. *African Identities*, 23(2), 467-489.
- Joab-Peterside, S. (2020). Nigeria's contemporary security challenges: Herders-farmers conflict and banditry. *crisis*, 10(17), 27-38.
- Kelly, L. (2020). Evidence on resilience approaches in fragile and conflict-affected states and protracted crises.
- Knack, S., & Zak, P. J. (2003). Building trust: public policy, interpersonal trust, and economic development. *Supreme court economic review*, 10, 91-107.
- Mamman, E. B. (2020). *Public policy response to violence: Case study of boko haram insurgency in Nigeria* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).
- Medina, L., Schapendonk, F., Jaskolski, M., Osumba, J., Jebiwott, A., Singh, R., ... & Pacillo, G. (2025). Conflict-sensitive adaptation governance: Assessing Kenya's County Climate Change Fund.
- Musa, A. (2025). Development and Security Nexus within the NIGERIA Framework: Challenges and Strategic Pathways. *Journal of Security Management, Policy & Administration*, 1(1).
- Mustapha, A. R. (2006). Ethnic structure, inequality and governance of the public sector in Nigeria.

- Nwachukwu, E. U. The Effectiveness of Peace Building and Conflict Resolution Strategies in Addressing Conflicts and Insecurity in Nigeria.
- Ojha, H. R., Ford, R., Keenan, R. J., Race, D., Vega, D. C., Baral, H., & Sapkota, P. (2016). Delocalizing communities: Changing forms of community engagement in natural resources governance. *World Development*, 87, 274-290.
- Okonkwo, N. S., & Akanji, T. A. (2025). Political Violence in Nigeria: Examining Dynamics, Impacts, and Sociopolitical Realities. *Journal of World Affairs*, 1(3), 346-364.
- Oladosu, O., Adeyeye, A. I., & Omitola, B. O. (2025). Identity Politics and Conflict Issues among Farmers in Ibarapa Area of Oyo State: Implications for Food Security. *Kashere Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 3(2), 334-348.
- Olowu, D. (2003). Local institutional and political structures and processes: recent experience in Africa. *Public Administration and Development: The International Journal of Management Research and Practice*, 23(1), 41-52.
- Omweri, F. S. (2024). A systematic literature review of e-government implementation in developing countries: examining urban-rural disparities, institutional capacity, and socio-cultural factors in the context of local governance and progress towards SDG 16.6. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 8(8), 1173-1199.
- Osaghae, E. E., & Suberu, R. T. (2005). A history of identities, violence, and stability in Nigeria. *Centre for Research on Inequality Human Security and Ethnicity, University of Oxford*, 6, 1-27.
- Paudel, P., Winterford, K., & Selim, Y. (2023). Exploring the need for an integrated conflict sensitivity framework in development assistance that contributes to peaceful and sustainable post-conflict societies. In *Integrated approaches to peace and sustainability* (pp. 11-31). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Rassanjani, S., & Meesonk, N. (2025). From theory to action: bridging governance gaps in public policy for sustainable development. *Journal of Contemporary Governance and Public Policy*, 6(2), 97-116.
- Scholten, P. W. (2013). Agenda dynamics and the multi-level governance of intractable policy controversies: The case of migrant integration policies in the Netherlands. *Policy Sciences*, 46(3), 217-236.
- Skovdal, M., & Cornish, F. (2015). *Qualitative research for development: a guide for practitioners*. Practical Action (Organization).