

EFFECTS OF BANDITRY ON RURAL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT IN KONTAGORA AND MARIGA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS OF NIGER STATE

BY

Buhari Bunu¹, Usman Musa² and Aminu Sani³

¹Niger State Housing Corporation, Minna

²Department of Public Administration, Ibrahim Badamasi Babangida University Lapai

³Department of Sociology, Ibrahim Badamasi Babangida University Lapai

bbunugaladima@yahoo.ca , usmanizymusa01@gmail.com and aminu_sani@ibbu.edu.ng

Abstract

This paper investigates the phenomenon of banditry and its transformative effects on rural community development in Kontangora and Mariga Local Government Areas, Niger State, Nigeria. Banditry characterized by violent and criminal activities such as theft, kidnappings, and armed assaults, has escalated in the study areas in recent years, creating significant socio-economic challenges for rural communities. The objectives of the study are to examine how banditry is affecting rural community development in Kontangora and Mariga Local Government Areas of Niger State and what the government and the communities are doing to tackle this menace. This study reviewed existing literature to get a comprehensive view on how banditry impacts various dimensions of community development, including agriculture, education, health, and infrastructural development. The study reveals a direct correlation between the prevalence of banditry and the decline in agricultural productivity, as farmers face threats to their safety and property, leading to reduced crop yields and food insecurity. Furthermore, the continuous attacks by bandits undermines educational opportunities for children, forcing schools to close or operate under severe constraint with adverse effect on literacy rates and future prospects of youth. Health services are also critically affected, with healthcare workers reluctant to serve in high-risk areas, leading to inadequate healthcare access for rural residents. Findings from the study illustrate a cycle of impoverishment and despair as communities struggle to recover from the economic impacts of banditry, making it difficult to invest in essential infrastructure and social services. Findings of the study also revealed strategies for mitigating the effects of banditry through community engagement, government intervention, and collaborative security efforts, emphasizing the importance of local initiatives in fostering resilience and ensuring sustainable rural development. To curb the menace of banditry, the study recommends the need to strengthen community cohesion, enhance security, and promote development initiatives tailored to the unique challenges posed by banditry in these localities.

Key Words: Banditry; Rural Development; Community Security; Socio-economic Impact; Niger State

Introduction

Insecurity anywhere in the world is a threat to social and economic development and prosperity everywhere, rural communities inclusive. According to (Global Food Policy Report (GFPR), 2019) a majority of the world's poor live in rural areas: rural populations account for 45.3 percent of the world's total population, but 70 percent of the world's extremely poor. The global poverty rate in rural areas is



currently 17 percent, more than double the urban poverty rate of 7 percent. It is generally accepted that the Conditions for them are worse than for their urban counterparts when measured by almost any development indicator, from extreme poverty to child mortality and access to electricity and sanitation (Food and Agricultural Organization of United Nations (FAO), 2020). Rural communities are very important component of every nation, Nigeria inclusive. This is especially so because over 70 percent of food, raw materials and human beings reside in rural areas or communities (Idachaba, 1985). This is why the development of rural communities is a major concern to government across the global. The development of rural communities through adequate provision of quality roads, schools, housing, healthcare facilities among others could propel the needed national economic development if the desired peaceful and secured atmosphere is available.

The adequacy or otherwise of security and peaceful environment remains a core influencing force for economic growth and development or underdevelopment anywhere in the world. The insecurity situation across rural communities in Niger state in general and Mariga and Kontagora local government areas in particular is in serious need of intervention from government and community members. The existence of high level of insecurity emanating from banditry, kidnapping, and cattle rustling has affected rural communities' attempt to generate employment, spur economic development and enable households meet their basic needs. The residence of these rural communities have fled their home in search of safer environment and this has led to increasing levels of suffering among the rural communities with a snow-ball effect on even their urban relatives.

Though, the insecurity problem is not restricted to Mariga and Kontagora local government areas alone, Mariga and Kontagora local government areas however, faces a special issue as it relates to low or declining populations emanating from rural-urban migration caused by the increasing insecurity in the areas. It has really become difficult and almost impossible to attract the recruitment, retention and encouragement of people to stay and contribute meaningfully to the development of their rural communities through active participation in the administration of rural community activities due to insecurity caused by banditry, kidnappings and cattle rustling.

According to Ekong (1988), a rural community is an aggregation of families living within the same rural geographical confine, with similar cultural beliefs and influences one another socio-culturally. Governments across the three level in Nigeria understood the importance of these rural communities; and as a result, several development programmes to uplift these rural communities were set up by successive administrations.

Communities across the world have faced a growing number of challenge with insecurity being caused by violent attacks, terrorism, riots, food and water crisis to mention but a few. These have brought untold hardship to people, especially the poor masses. Insecurity is lack of a situation that provides national and international conditions favourable to the protection of a nation, state and its citizens against existing and potential threats. It entails an exposure of people to danger or threat. No country will ever achieve a sustainable development in the face of widespread insecurity of lives and properties. The global challenge of insecurity that develops from organized high profile crimes perpetrated through transnational syndication and racketeering has endlessly perceived as threats to survival of countries. Nigeria's political history has been chequered by various forms of violent insecurity challenges ranging from the civil war, election related mayhem, riots and protests, militancy, insurgency and herdsmen/farmer clashes.

In Nigeria, banditry has grown from a localized problem into a national crisis, particularly in the northwestern and north-central regions, where criminal gangs operate with impunity, exploiting porous borders, weak governance, and socio-economic grievances (International Crisis Group, 2020; Okoli & Ugwu, 2019). This work examined the effects of banditry on rural community development in Kontagora and Mariga Local Government Areas (LGAs) of Niger State. These regions typify rural African communities grappling with violent disruptions to livelihood, governance, and development. In recent years, the prevalence of armed banditry in these areas has escalated, leading to widespread fear, displacement of residents, and the destruction of property and farmlands. The persistent insecurity has severely undermined local economies, disrupted agricultural activities which are the primary source of income for most inhabitants and strained the already limited social infrastructure. Moreover, the breakdown of traditional governance structures and the erosion of trust in state institutions have further complicated efforts to restore peace and stability. By understanding the unique experiences and adaptive strategies of communities in Kontagora and Mariga, this article contributes to broader discourses on state fragility, rural resilience, and security governance in Africa. It highlights the urgent need for comprehensive approaches that address both immediate security concerns and the underlying socio-economic vulnerabilities that enable such violence to persist.

In the very recent past, Niger State is fraught with violent conflicts, chaos, anarchy and disorderliness as a result of banditry activities that saw hundreds of pupils kidnapped from Papiri primary school in Mariga local government and several other communities in Kontagora local government area. These attacks lead to a sudden and indefinite closures of primary and secondary school across the State and distortion of the academic calendar. The worrisome dimension of these problems, its effects on rural development and the lack of decisive and concrete action by the government to ameliorate the situation motivated the desire to embark on this study.

Literature Review

According to Ekong (1988), a community is an aggregation of families living within the same geographical confine, with similar cultural beliefs and influences one another socio-culturally. Community is viewed as any process of social interaction which gives use to a more intensive or more extensive attitude and practice of interdependence, cooperation, collaboration and unification.

According to Anyebe (1995), development implies attacking wide-spread absolute poverty, reducing inequalities and removing the specter of unemployment – all these being achieved within the context of a growing economy.

In their views, Streeten and Burki (1986) sees development from the perspective of meeting the basic needs of man which classified into three and they include:

- i. Basic consumption, such as good food, clothing, and shelter to which every individual is entitled.
- ii. Basic services, such as education, healthcare services, clean water supply, transportation and communication services to which everyone should have access.
- iii. The right to participate in making and implementing decisions which affects one's own development.

Seers (1972) posed the most fundamental questions relating to the meaning of development when he wrote: The questions to ask about a country's development are therefore: What has been happening to poverty? What has been happening to unemployment? What has been happening to inequality? If all



three of these declined from high levels, then beyond doubt this has been a period of development for the country concerned. If one or two of these central problems have been growing worse, especially if all three have, it would be strange to call the result; development' even if per capita income doubled.

Rural development focuses on the continuous socio-economic improvement of the people in rural areas so that they can effectively control their own destiny and resources. It seeks the transformation of the rural community into a socially, economically and politically orderly and materially desirable condition, with the purpose of improving the quality of life of the rural people. It essentially involves the integration of the rural poor into the national economy. Many rural communities do not benefit from national development programmes. It is largely believed that the rural poor constitute the vast majority of the population of many developing countries. Rural community development deals with efforts in the rural and pre-industrial developing nations.

The 1945 Ashridge Conference on social development defined rural community development as a movement designed to promote better living for the whole rural community with the active participation and on the initiative of the community. This redefinition came when it became obvious that the concept of mass education, though served a particular phase, could not be the sole orientation of community development.

The 1951 Cambridge Summer Conference on African Administration under the sponsorship of the Colonial Office as a movement to promote better living for the whole community with the active participation and if possible on the initiative of the community, but if this initiative is not forthcoming spontaneously, by use of techniques arousing and stimulating it in order to secure its active and on the sciatic response to the movement.

The United Nations (1956) sees rural community development as the process by which the effort of the rural people themselves are united with those of the governmental authorities to improve the economic, social and cultural conditions of communities, to integrate these communities into the life of the nation, and to enable them to contribute fully to national progress. This process is made up of two elements: the participation by the people themselves in efforts to improve their level of living, with as much reliance as possible on their own initiative; and the provision of technical and other services in ways which encourage initiative, self-help and mutual help and make these more effective.

According to the World Bank (1975), rural development was seen as purely an economic issue or raising the low levels of rural income through agricultural modernization. This definition is reflective of rural development as a subset of development in the 1970s. This is because in the 1970s development was also viewed merely from the economic dimension. However, nowadays development is broadly viewed as an overall improvement of the quality of life of a human being in terms of economic, social, economic, political, environmental, and administrative issues. Thus, the 1975 World Bank definition does not consider other important dimensions of development listed above. In other words, before the 1970s, rural development was seen to be synonymous with agricultural development. In this regard, (Johnston, 1970) argued that in the 1960s and early 1970s, intense industrialization was the main characteristic of the perceived development path. In this context, he conceptualized rural development as precisely leading into that path: conceived rural development as basically a part of structural transformation characterized by diversification of the economy away from agriculture. This process is facilitated by rapid agricultural growth, at least initially, but leads ultimately to a significant decline in



the share of agriculture to total employment and output and the proportion of the rural population to the total population. Later in the 1980s World Bank defined rural development, as a strategy designed to improve the economic and social life of the rural poor, which has since been variously defined. Besides, later during the 1970s, rural development is conceptualized based on equity considerations, the focus and definition of rural development turned to the provision of social services to the rural poor. Subsequently, the 1970's rural development as a concept has been highly related to the promotion of standards of living and as a precondition for reducing rural poverty (Johnston, 1970).

Banditry in Nigeria is characterized by criminal acts such as armed robbery, kidnapping, and extortion, often perpetrated by well-armed groups who exploit ungoverned spaces for economic and political gain. These groups typically operate in rural and peri-urban areas where state presence is weak or ineffective, taking advantage of porous borders, difficult terrain, and limited law enforcement capacity. Historically, bandits have sometimes been viewed as social avengers or “primitive rebels” (Hobsbawm, 1969), acting out of perceived injustice or as a form of resistance against oppressive authorities. However, in contemporary Nigeria, the motivations and operations of bandit groups have shifted significantly, with their activities becoming increasingly commercialized, organized, and destructive (Okoli & Ugwu, 2019).

Empirical Review of Related Studies

Adesina and Kehinde (2026) Evolution and impact of rural banditry in Nigeria: a situational action Theory approach to understanding localized insecurity. They argued that banditry in Nigeria involves violent criminal activities such as armed robbery, kidnapping, extortion, and cattle rustling in both urban and rural settings. In recent years, rural banditry has become a particularly severe form of violence, threatening livelihoods, safety, and the socio-economic fabric of rural communities. What began as sporadic criminal acts has evolved into a sustained crisis marked by coordinated village attacks, mass abductions, and population displacement. This study examines the evolution and impacts of rural banditry in Nigeria using Situational Action Theory (SAT) to analyze how individual criminal propensities interact with enabling environmental and structural conditions. The research adopts a qualitative approach, drawing on data collected in 2022 through in-depth interviews, focus group discussions, and key informant interviews conducted across affected communities in eight purposively selected states in the North Central, North West, South East, and South West regions. Findings reveal that rural banditry is driven by weak governance, socio-economic exclusion, environmental pressures, and intercommunal tensions, with significant consequences for agricultural productivity, economic stability, and social cohesion. The study emphasizes the urgency of comprehensive policy responses that address both the root causes and immediate effects of rural insecurity through strengthened local governance, community engagement, and targeted socio-economic development.

Chimezie (2025) examined banditry and underdevelopment in northern Nigeria. Banditry has emerged as a critical source of insecurity and underdevelopment in Northern Nigeria, especially within the North-West and parts of the North-Central regions. Armed bandit groups have persistently launched large-scale assaults on rural settlements, farmlands, highways, and public facilities, leading to widespread killings, abductions, cattle rustling, and large-scale displacement of residents. The study aimed to critically examine the impact of banditry on the underdevelopment of northern Nigeria. The study adopted the Queer Ladder Theory (QLT) propounded by the American sociologist Daniel Bell in 1940. The study utilized secondary sources of data; data were drawn from government reports, academic journals, books, newspapers, and online databases, among others. The study concluded that armed banditry in northern Nigeria has become a widespread and complex crisis, producing significant humanitarian, social, and



economic repercussions. Continuous attacks on communities, schools, and farmlands have fostered fear and insecurity, compelling thousands of people to leave their homes and seek shelter in towns, camps, or neighbouring areas. The study recommended that governments at all levels should make deliberate efforts to churn out economic policies and programmes that will help speed up the already debilitating and crippled economy in the northern region, owing to the devastating effect of bandit-related activities in the region. This will help rekindle hope in the people and boost Foreign Direct Investment (FDI).

Abdullahi (2022) conceptually analyzed the phenomenon of rural banditry and its implications in Nigeria. The paper is descriptive and anchored on routine activity theory. The materials used for the paper were obtained from secondary sources, including published journal articles, textbooks, and other materials from internet sources relevant to the topic. The researcher posits that the current insecurity situation in many parts of Nigerian society manifesting in the spate of rural banditry, and other violent crimes has assumed a worrisome dimension across the country, with prominence in Zamfara, Katsina, Kaduna, and Niger States, among others. The researcher identified poverty, unemployment and injustice among others, as the causes of increasing rural banditry in Nigerian society resulting to socio-economic decline, unwanted migration, loss of lives and valuable properties. The paper recommends among others the, need for governments in partnership with non-governmental organizations, well-to-do individuals, and community stake-holders to effectively eradicate the prevalence of poverty occasioned by unemployment and injustice which facilitates armed banditry and other violent activities in Nigeria.

Theoretical perspectives on banditry now emphasize the interplay between state fragility, economic deprivation, and the proliferation of small arms, which together create an enabling environment for criminal violence (Akinyemi & Ishola, 2020). The commodification of violence, where ransom payments and looted resources become primary objectives, has further entrenched banditry as a lucrative enterprise. This evolution reflects broader patterns of insecurity and governance challenges across the region, necessitating a multidimensional analytical framework that incorporates political, economic, and social factors. By situating the phenomenon within these conceptual and theoretical contexts, this article seeks to deepen understanding of the underlying drivers of banditry and inform more effective responses at both local and national levels.

Theoretically, this analysis draws from several key frameworks to deepen the understanding of banditry and its impacts:

State Fragility Theory

The theory which emphasizes the state's inability to effectively monopolize the use of force, maintain territorial integrity, and deliver essential public services to its citizens. In the context of this study, this theory highlights how weak governance structures, limited institutional capacity, and inadequate security apparatus create opportunities for non-state actors, such as bandit groups, to thrive and challenge the authority of the state. The persistence of ungoverned spaces and the inability to provide safety and basic needs for the population are central concerns within this perspective (Rotberg, 2004).

Human Security Theory

This theory is also adopted in the study, the the theory shifts the focus from traditional, state-centric notions of security to a more holistic, people-centered approach. This framework prioritizes the protection and empowerment of individuals and communities, particularly in rural and conflict-prone

areas where the effects of violence are most acutely felt (United Nations Development Programme [UNDP], 1994). Human Security Theory underscores the importance of addressing not only physical safety but also economic, food, health, and environmental security, recognizing that insecurity in any of these domains can exacerbate vulnerability and fuel cycles of violence. By integrating these theoretical perspectives, the analysis provides a comprehensive lens through which to examine the complex dynamics of banditry, state fragility, and rural resilience in Nigeria.

Drivers of Banditry

Banditry is driven by a combination of structural and immediate factors that have created a fertile ground for criminal activity and insecurity.

1. **Socio-economic deprivation:** Widespread poverty, high rates of youth unemployment, and the exclusion of many community members from economic opportunities have made banditry an appealing survival strategy for some marginalized groups (Adamu & Muhammad, 2020, Okoli, 2019). The lack of viable livelihoods and persistent economic hardship push vulnerable individuals toward criminal networks as a means of coping with daily challenges and securing basic needs.
2. **Weak governance and institutional failure:** The inability of local authorities to provide adequate policing, combined with corruption and slow, inefficient justice systems, allows bandit groups to operate with relative impunity (Akinyemi & Ishola, 2020). These governance failures are evident in the inability to secure communities, the delayed response to attacks, and the lack of trust between residents and security agencies. As a result, bandits are emboldened to carry out abductions, cattle rustling, and violent raids, further destabilizing the region.
3. **Porous borders and arms proliferation:** The flow of small arms across West Africa, coupled with poorly policed forests and rural hinterlands, has created safe havens for criminal groups. The expansive and difficult terrain of Kontagora and Mariga, along with their proximity to other conflict-prone regions, facilitates the movement of weapons and bandits across borders (International Crisis Group, 2020). This arms proliferation has enabled bandit groups to become increasingly well-armed and organized, posing significant challenges to local security forces and threatening the safety of entire communities.
4. **Climate change and resource conflicts:** The effects of climate change, particularly desertification and the increasing scarcity of arable land and water resources, have significantly intensified competition between farmers and herders in Kontagora and Mariga. As fertile land becomes less available and water sources dry up, both groups are forced to compete for the limited resources necessary for their livelihoods. This heightened competition often leads to disputes, which can escalate into violent confrontations. Bandit groups exploit these tensions by taking advantage of the chaos and mistrust between communities, sometimes even exacerbating conflicts for their own benefit. The resulting cycles of violence not only destabilize local societies but also provide cover and opportunities for bandits to carry out attacks, kidnappings, and extortion. In this way, environmental stressors and resource-based conflicts have become deeply intertwined with the broader insecurity in the region, further complicating efforts to restore peace and stability (Blench, 2010).



These interconnected drivers have resulted in a situation where banditry is not only persistent but also highly disruptive, forcing the displacement of entire communities, undermining agricultural production, and deepening the cycle of poverty and insecurity in Kontagora and Mariga.

Impacts of banditry on rural community development

1. **Agricultural Decline and Food Insecurity:** Farmers in Kontagora and Mariga have been forced to abandon their farmlands due to persistent fear of attacks and direct threats from bandits. This mass abandonment has resulted in a significant reduction in agricultural output, leading to severe food insecurity in the region. In many cases, bandits not only prevent farmers from accessing their land but also demand ransoms or impose levies before allowing harvests, further exacerbating food shortages and driving up prices. The disruption of farming activities has had a ripple effect, threatening the livelihoods of rural households and contributing to a broader food crisis across Niger State (Mercy Corps, 2016).
2. **Educational Disruption:** The ongoing insecurity has led to the closure or intermittent operation of schools in affected communities. Threats and attacks against teachers and students have made educational environments unsafe, resulting in a sharp rise in child labor and an increasing number of out-of-school children. These disruptions create long-term educational deficits, undermining human capital development and limiting future opportunities for the youth in these communities (UNICEF, 2021).
3. **Health and Social Services:** Healthcare facilities in the region are frequently underutilized or abandoned altogether, as repeated attacks and the flight of healthcare workers have rendered them inaccessible. Pregnant women and children are particularly vulnerable, with many unable to access essential maternal care or routine vaccinations. The breakdown of health and social services further compounds the humanitarian crisis, increasing mortality and morbidity rates among already at-risk populations (International Rescue Committee, 2022).
4. **Displacement and Economic Stagnation:** Thousands of residents have been displaced from their homes, seeking refuge in internally displaced persons (IDP) camps or urban slums. This mass displacement not only causes significant psychological trauma for individuals and families but also places immense pressure on the limited social infrastructure of host communities. The resulting economic stagnation, loss of livelihoods, and overburdened public services hinder recovery and development, deepening cycles of poverty and vulnerability (Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre, 2022).
5. **Political Implications and State Legitimacy:** The inability of the state to provide security and justice in rural areas not only exposes communities to repeated violence but also erodes public trust in government institutions. As residents witness the persistent failure of law enforcement agencies and judicial systems to protect lives and property, a growing sense of neglect and abandonment takes hold. This crisis of legitimacy undermines the authority of the state and creates a vacuum that is quickly filled by alternative authority structures, such as local vigilante groups, traditional leaders, and informal power brokers. These groups often emerge to fill the gap left by ineffective state presence, providing a semblance of order and protection for local populations. However, their interests and methods may not always align with those of the formal state, and in some cases, they may engage in or perpetuate cycles of violence, extortion,

or retributive justice. The proliferation of these non-state actors further complicates the security landscape, sometimes fostering competition and conflict between various groups vying for influence and control. Ultimately, this dynamic deepens mistrust in government and hinders efforts to restore legitimate and effective governance in affected rural areas (International Crisis Group, 2020).

Government Response: Gaps and Challenges

Despite various efforts by government and stakeholders, including military operations and peace dialogues, most interventions aimed at curbing banditry in Kontagora and Mariga have been largely reactive and chronically under-resourced. The effectiveness of these responses is further undermined by pervasive corruption, insufficient involvement of local communities, and poor coordination among relevant agencies and actors. As a result, the intended impact of these interventions is often limited and unsustainable.

1. Military deployments are frequently launched in response to major attacks or escalating violence, but these operations tend to provide only temporary relief. Once troops withdraw or shift focus, bandit groups often return, and the underlying drivers of insecurity such as poverty, unemployment, and weak governance remain unaddressed. This cyclical pattern highlights the need for more comprehensive and preventive approaches.
2. Peace negotiations with armed groups are sometimes initiated in hopes of brokering ceasefires or disarmament, but these processes often lack transparency and accountability. In many cases, negotiations are conducted behind closed doors, with little input from affected communities or civil society. The tendency to grant amnesty or material rewards to bandit leaders can inadvertently legitimize their actions and foster a culture of impunity, undermining the rule of law and discouraging genuine reconciliation.
3. Community policing initiatives have been introduced as a means of involving local actors in maintaining security. However, these efforts are hampered by inadequate training, insufficient weaponry, and a lack of clear legal frameworks to support their operations. As a result, community policing groups often struggle to confront well-armed bandit groups and may themselves become targets of violence or intimidation. Without adequate support and integration into formal security structures, their capacity to effect meaningful change remains limited (Hassan, 2021).

Overall, these challenges underscore the need for more holistic, well-resourced, and locally informed strategies that address both the symptoms and root causes of banditry in the region.

Conclusion

The crisis of banditry in Nigeria, as vividly exemplified by the experience of Kontagora and Mariga LGAs, represents not just a localized security challenge but a manifestation of deeper, systemic failures in governance and inclusive development. The persistent violence, displacement, and disruption of livelihoods in these communities underscore the urgent need for comprehensive reforms that address both the immediate symptoms and the root causes of insecurity. Tackling this crisis is essential not only for restoring peace and stability within Nigeria's borders but also for advancing the broader agenda of transformational development across Africa.



As African states grapple with increasingly complex and interconnected security threats—ranging from armed banditry and insurgency to resource conflicts and transnational crime—the importance of integrating local solutions with responsive governance cannot be overstated. Empowering local governments and traditional institutions, fostering meaningful community participation, and ensuring that development policies are inclusive and equitable are all critical steps toward rebuilding trust and resilience at the grassroots level.

Furthermore, regional cooperation and intelligence sharing among neighboring countries are vital for addressing cross-border dimensions of insecurity, such as arms trafficking and the movement of criminal groups.

Ultimately, the synergy of locally-driven initiatives, accountable governance, and robust regional partnerships offers the most promising path forward. By learning from the experiences of affected communities like Kontagora and Mariga, and by prioritizing people-centered approaches to security and development, African nations can build stronger, more resilient societies capable of overcoming the challenges posed by banditry and other forms of violence. This holistic response is not only necessary for Nigeria's internal security but is also foundational for achieving sustainable, transformational progress across the continent.

Recommendations

To address the menace of banditry in a way that supports Africa's broader development aspirations, a transformative, multi-level approach is necessary one that recognizes the complexity of the challenge and the need for both immediate and long-term solutions.

1. **Strengthen Local Governance:** It is essential to equip and empower Local Government Areas (LGAs) and traditional institutions with the resources, authority, and capacity to address security and development challenges in an integrated manner. By fostering collaboration between formal government structures and respected community leaders, local actors can play a more proactive role in conflict resolution, intelligence gathering, and the delivery of essential services. This approach helps rebuild trust and ensures that interventions are contextually relevant and sustainable.
2. **Expand Rural Investment:** Tackling the economic roots of banditry requires significant investment in rural areas. Boosting job creation, providing agricultural support, and offering incentives for small businesses can create viable alternatives to criminal activity, especially for at-risk youth. These investments should prioritize inclusive growth, skills training, and access to credit, helping to reduce poverty and economic exclusion, which are major drivers of insecurity in vulnerable communities.
3. **Improve Border and Forest Surveillance:** Given the role of porous borders and ungoverned rural spaces in facilitating banditry, investing in modern surveillance technologies such as drones, sensors, and satellite imagery can enhance the monitoring of remote areas. Strengthening cross-border intelligence sharing and cooperation with neighboring countries is also crucial for tracking the movement of armed groups and intercepting the flow of illicit arms and contraband.
4. **Engage Youth through Peace Building and Reintegration:** Young people are both the most affected by and the most likely to be recruited into banditry. Implementing nonviolent civic

engagement programs and providing pathways for the reintegration of repentant bandits can help break cycles of violence. These initiatives should include vocational training, psychosocial support, and opportunities for youth to participate in peace building and community development efforts, fostering a sense of belonging and purpose.

5. **Institutionalize Community-Based Early Warning Systems:** Creating robust frameworks for reporting and responding to emerging threats before they escalate is vital for effective prevention. Community-based early warning systems should leverage local knowledge and networks, enabling timely communication between residents, security agencies, and local authorities. By institutionalizing these mechanisms, communities can play a central role in identifying risks, mobilizing rapid responses, and building resilience against future security challenges.

Collectively, these strategies offer a holistic and sustainable path forward, addressing both the immediate symptoms and the deeper structural causes of banditry while supporting the region's long-term development goals.

References

- Abdullahi Lawal Dangiwa (2022). Conceptual analysis of the implications of rural banditry and its implications in Nigeria: A study of the northern region, *International Journal of Intellectual Discourse* (IJID). Volume 5, Issue 4.
- Adamu, A., & Muhammad, A. (2020). Community resilience to banditry in rural Nigeria. *African Security Review*, 29(2), 145-158.
- Adesina O.S., & Kehinde M.A (2026). Evolution and impact of rural banditry in Nigeria: a situational action. Theory approach to understanding localized insecurity. Small war and insurgencies. 1-26 <https://dot.org/10.1080/09592318.2026.2621140>
- Akinyemi, T. E., & Ishola, K. A. (2020). Banditry and internal security in Nigeria: Issues and challenges. *Journal of Security Studies*, 13(1), 34-54.
- Ashridge (1945) A British Approach to Colonial Development? Community Development Rhetoric in British Late Colonialism (1940s–1950s).
- Blench, R. (2010). Conflict between pastoralists and cultivators in Nigeria. *Kay Williamson Educational Foundation*.
- Eze, C. C. (2026). The impact of banditry on underdevelopment in northern Nigeria. *Journal of Human, Social and Political Science Research*, 10(6). <https://doi.org/10.70382/sjhspsr.v10i6.047>
- Ekong, E.C. (1988). *Rural Sociology: An Introduction and Analysis of Rural Nigeria*. Lagos: Jamak Publishers.
- Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO). (2020). A New Rural Development Paradigm for the 21st Century. OECD. Available at <http://www.fao.org/family-farming/detail/en/c/1111188/>.
- Global Food Policy Report (GFPR). (2019). Crises in Rural Areas Threatens Progress in Hunger, Poverty Reduction; Urgent Need for Rural Revitalization, Strong Policies, and Accountability. Washington, D.C. USA: International food policy research institute(IFPRI). Available at <https://www.ifpri.org/news-release/2019-global-foodpolicy-report-crises-rural-areas-threatens-progress-hunger-poverty>
- Hassan, I. (2021). Nigeria's rampant banditry and how to rein it in. *The New Humanitarian*.
- Hobsbawm, E. J. (1969). Bandits. Weidenfeld& Nicolson
- <https://www.thenewhumanitarian.org/analysis/2021/03/18/nigeria-banditry-solutions>



- Idacahba, F. S., & Olayide, S. O. (1985). "Rural infrastructures and the small farmers, in Nigeria: problems and prospects in integrated rural development": 249 – 255.
- (IFAD), I. F. (2016). Rural Development Report: Fostering inclusive rural transformation. Rome, Italy: Quantity.
- Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre. (2022). *Nigeria: Country information*.
- International Crisis Group. (2020). *Violence in Nigeria's North West: Rolling back the Mayhem*. Africa Report No. 288.
- International Rescue Committee. (2022). *Nigeria: Humanitarian crisis overview*.
- Mercy Corps. (2016). *The economic costs of conflict and violence in northern Nigeria*. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 6, (7), pp3670-3681
- Okoli, A. C. & Ugwu, A. C. (2019). Of marauders and brigands: Scoping the threat of rural banditry in Nigeria's north west. *Brazilian Journal of African Studies*, 4(8), 201-222.
- Rotberg, R. I. (2004). *When states fail: Causes and consequences*. Princeton University Press.
- Streeter, P. (1986). *"First Things First", meeting basic human needs in developing countries*. New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Seers, D. (1976). *The Meaning of Development*. Eleventh World Conference of the Society for International Development, New Delhi.
- UNICEF. (2021). *Education under attack in Nigeria*.
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (1994). *Human Development Report 1994: New dimensions of human security*.
- United Nations (1956). World Development Report: Development and Environment. Washington, D. C; 208-211.
- World Bank (1975). Bank Administration and Policy - Agriculture and Rural Development 1975 1977 Correspondence 1(1).